KU Aerospace Design

AE 521 Aerospace Design I

Dr. Ron Barrett-Gonzalez Professor of Aerospace Engineering The University of Kansas, Lawrence

Today's Schedule:

- Call Roll
- Service/Outreach Sign-Ups:

Mon. 10/23 Eisenhower Middle School, Abilene

Mon. 10/30 Wamego Middle School

Sat. 12/2 Flint Hills Discovery Center, Manhattan

Sat. 12/9 Flint Hills Discovery Center, Manhattan

? Farley Elementary visit to KU Campus

- Competitions
- Small Report 5
- Hyperbook

AEROSPAGE JEOPARDY!

KU Aerospace Design

Fall 2023 Outreach Activities Driver/Rider signups

	Mon.	Mon.	Sat.	Sat.			Mon.	Mon.	Sat.	Sat.
	10/23	10/30	12/2	12/9			10/23	10/30	12/2	12/9
Ativie,Joseph			х			Linthavong,Cherry			х	
Bailey,Lucy M						Lofland,Chris C	х	х		
Barland,Jack A	х		х			Marshall,Jeb O	х		х	х
Bonham,Maggie E		х				Mays,Benjamin S		х		
Braaten,Niels C		х				Mcmichael,Barrett			х	х
Caulfield,Camden Lee						Mcnulty,Jack B		х		
Coppens,Ryan						Mistretta, Anthony J		х		
Dargahi,Alex			х		İ	Olson,Kadin Lee	х		х	
Denault,Carson Robert		х				Platt,Charlie M		х		
Deng,Keyu			х			Poznanski,Joshua	х	х	х	х
Dillon,Peter						Reida,Reanne N			х	х
Dodge,Andrew						Reidy,Macoy M		х	х	
Dunlay,Joshua P	х				İ	Relan,Jennifer	х	х	х	х
Dutta,Sap						Richardson, Jake	х		х	х
Foster,Dean C	х		х	х		Russell,Lucas S	х		х	х
Gillies,Gunnar			х	х		Schneider,Cade W	х		х	х
Goudschaal-Frazier,Gracyn Jane						Shah,Dhairya	Х	х		
Guzman, Jonathan Alan		х				Sullivan, Tim Michael				
Harder,Samuel A			х	х		Sutton, Joshua T				х
Heide,Rhett Gile	х		х			Svoboda,Benjamin C		х		
Horst,Evelyn			х			Thorson, Johnathan A		х		
Hunt,Wesley Afra	х					To,Hoang Minh			х	
Junnare,Nupoor	х	х				Torok, Jackson P				х
Keathley,Liliana Gabriel						Torres Leon, Hector	х		х	
King,Kathryn M						Waggoner,Alex				х
Kuligowski,Payton M			х	х		Wall,James Edgar			х	
Larsen, Isaac		х		х		Wegiel,Jeremy L				



UPCOMING AEROSPACE JEOPARDY TOPICS

Game 3	Commercial Transports	General Aviation	Fighters	Fighters Aircraft Designers		Stability & Control
Game 4	Amphibians	Homebuilts	Interceptors	Politicians	Performance	Materials & Processes
Game 5	Bombers	Autogyros	Lighter than Air	Military Leaders	Propulsion	Structures
Game 6	Before WWI	Naval Aviation	Transport Helicopters	Aerospace Professors	Strength of Materials	Fluid Mechanics
Game 7	Gliders	X-Planes	Launch Vehicles	Astronauts	Weight Sizing	Conversions
Game 8	Cold War	Tactical Missiles	Commercial Transports	mmercial ansports First Flights Si		Piston Engines
Game 9	Russian Aerospace	European Aerospace	Canadian Aerospace	Doctrines & Agreements	Rocket Engines	Jet Engines
Game 10	Experimental Airplanes	Experimental Rotorcraft	Attack Airplanes	Corporate Leaders	Wing Sizing	Configurations
Game 11	Business Transports	Prehistoric Aerospace Devices & Lore	VTOL Aircraft	KU Professors	Stability and Control	Exoatmospherics
Game 12	NASA Trivia	Surface-to-Air Missiles	Unusual Weapons	Aerospace Laws	The Atmosphere	Materials & Processes
Game 13	Military Transports	Pioneer Years	Low Observables Aircraft	Combat Pilots	Supersonic Aerodynamics	Aircraft Configurations
Game 14	Aerospace Economics	Strategic Missiles	Hard-Launched Munitions	Aerospace Museums	Guidance, Navigation & Control	Aerospace Safety Practices
Game 15	Urban Air Mobility	Flying Toys and Sports	Air Crashes and Fatalities	KU & KUAE History	Design Practices	Circuits and Instrumentation
Game 16	Record Setters	Failed Designs	Aerospace Scandals & Cover-Ups	Dirty Politics	Design Practices	Finite Element Methods

Competitions



American Institute of Aeronautics & Astronautics (AIAA):

- Undergraduate Team: Heavy Lift Mobility Platform
- Undergraduate Individual: Stratospheric Payload Delivery
- Graduate Team: Electric Training Sailplane
- Graduate Missile: Rapid Reaction Satellite Launcher

Vertical Flight Society (VFS):

Multi-Mission Modular UAS for Disaster Relief



Competitions



American Institute of Aeronautics & Astronautics (AIAA):

- Undergraduate Team: Heavy Lift Mobility Platform
- Undergraduate Individual: Stratospheric Payload Delivery
- Graduate Team: Electric Training Sailplane
- Graduate Missile: Rapid Reaction Satellite Launcher

Vertical Flight Society (VFS):

Multi-Mission Modular UAS for Disaster Relief



You do not have to compete, but... You must choose/develop a mission spec. and profile of professional caliber from previous competitions

KU Aerospace Design

Think about which one(s) you want to do...

Student	AIAA UGTeam Heavy Lift	AIAA UG Indiv. Strato Payload	AIAA GTeam Electric Sailplane	AIAA Missile	VFS Disaster Relief UAS	Other	Graduation
Ativie,Joseph							
Bailey,Lucy M							
Barland, Jack A							
Bonham,Maggie E							
Braaten,Niels C							
Caulfield,Camden Lee							
Coppens,Ryan							
Dargahi,Alex							
Denault,Carson Robert							
Deng,Keyu							
Dillon,Peter							
Dodge,Andrew							
Dunlay,Joshua P							
Dutta,Sap							
Foster,Dean C							
Gillies,Gunnar							
Goudschaal- Frazier,Gracyn Jane							
Guzman,Jonathan Alan							
Harder,Samuel A							
Heide,Rhett Gile							
Horst,Evelyn							
Hunt,Wesley Afra							
Junnare,Nupoor							
Keathley,Liliana Gabriel							
King,Kathryn M							
Kuligowski,Payton M							
Larsen,Isaac							



KU Aerospace Design

Think about which one(s) you want to do...

Student	AIAA UGTeam Heavy Lift	AIAA UG Indiv. Strato Payload	AIAA GTeam Electric Sailplane	AIAA Missile	VFS Disaster Relief UAS	Other	Graduation
Linthavong,Cherry							
Lofland,Chris C							
Marshall,Jeb O							
Mays,Benjamin S							
Mcmichael,Barrett							
Mcnulty,Jack B							
Mistretta, Anthony J							
Olson,Kadin Lee							
Platt,Charlie M							
Poznanski,Joshua							
Reida,Reanne N							
Reidy,Macoy M							
Relan, Jennifer							
Richardson,Jake							
Russell,Lucas S							
Schneider,Cade W							
Shah,Dhairya							
Sullivan,Tim Michael							
Sutton,Joshua T							
Svoboda,Benjamin C							
Thorson, Johnathan A							
To,Hoang Minh							
Torok,Jackson P							
Torres Leon,Hector							
Waggoner,Alex							
Wall,James Edgar							
Wegiel,Jeremy L							



KU Aerospace Design



Small Report 5



- 1. Choose a Competition from the list of AIAA and VFS Competitions
- 2. Develop an Abbreviated Operating Statement (AOS) for the chosen competition and Generate a Concept of Operations (ConOps)
- 3. Generate the Mission Specification and Profile

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Where it all begins: The People, Laws, Rules & Regulations



KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process

Civil Aerospace Systems



Airplane Type	Passenger Limit	Weight Limit	Regulations
Ultralight	1	155/254lb	Ultralight FAR 103
Homebuilt	none	none	Experimental FAR 21
Light Sport Aircraft	1	1320lb	ASTM Consensus Stds
Propeller & Agricultural planes, Flying Boats, Supersonic	< 9	12,500lb	Normal Category FAR 23,
Twin Prop, Regional Turboprop, Transport Jets, Flying Boats, Supersonic	< 19	19,000lb	Commuter Category: FAR 23
Business Jets, Regional Turboprops, Transport Jets, Flying Boats, Supersonic Cruise Airplanes	> 19	none	FAR 25
Military Trainers, Fighters, Attack Aircraft, Military Patrol, Bomb & Transport Aircraft	none	none	Military

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - Army

Section IV - Functions of the United States army

The United States Army includes land combat and service forces and such aviation and water transport as may be organic therein. It is organized, trained, and equipped primarily for prompt and sustained combat operations on land. Of the three major Services, the Army has primary interest in all operations on land, except in those operations otherwise assigned herein.

A. Primary Functions

1. To organize, train, and equip Army forces for the conduct of prompt and sustained combat operations on land. Specifically:

a. To defeat enony land forces.

b. To seize, occupy, and defend land areas.

2. To organize, train, and equip Army antiaircraft artillery units.

3. To organize and equip, in coordination with the other Services, and to provide Army forces for joint amphibious and airborne operations, and to provide for the training of such forces in accordance with policies and doctrines of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

4. To develop, in coordination with the other Services, tactics, technicus, and equipment of interest to the Army for amphibious operations and not provided for in Section V, paragraph A 4 and paragraph A 11 c.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - Army

5. To provide an organization capable of furnishing adequate, timely, and reliable intelligence for the army.

6. To provide Army forces as required for the defense of the United States against air attack, in accordance with joint doctrines and procedures approved by the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

7. To provide forces, as directed by proper authority, for occupation of territories abroad, to include initial establishment of military government pending transfer of this responsibility to other authority.

8. To develop, in coordination with the Navy, the Air Force, and the Marine Corps, the doctrines, procedures, and equipment employed by Army and Marine forces in airborne operations. The Army shall have primary interest in the development of these airborne doctrines, procedures and equipment which are of common interest to the Army and the Marine Corps.

9. To formulate doctrines and procedures for the organization, equipping, training, and employment of forces operating on land, at division level and above, including division corps, army, and general reserve troops, except that the formulation of doctrines and procedures for the organization, equipping, training, and employment of Marine Corps units for amphibious operations shall be a function of the Department of the Navy, coordinating as required by paragraph All \underline{c} , Section V.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - Army

10. To provide support, as directed by higher authority, for the following activities.

a. The administration and operation of the Panama Canal.

b. River and harbor projects in the United States, its territories, and possessions.

c. Cortain other civil activities prescribed by law.

B. <u>Collateral Functions</u>. The forces developed and trained to perform the primary functions set forth above shall be employed to support and supplement the other Services in carrying out their primary functions, where and whenever such participation will result in increased effectiveness and will contribute to the accomplishment of the over-all military objectives. The Joint Chiefs of Staff member of the Service having primary responsibility for a function shall be the agent of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to present to that body the requirements for and plans for the employment of all forces to carry out the function. He shall also be responsible for presenting to the Joint Chiefs of Staff for final decision any disagreement within the field of his primary responsibility which has not been resolved. This shall not be construed to prevent any member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff from presenting unilater-lly any issue of disagreement with another Service. Certain specific collateral functions of the Army are listed below:

1. To interdict enemy sea and air power and communications through operations on or from land.

2. To provide forces and equipment for and to conduct controlled minefield operations.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - Navy

Section V - Functions of the United States Mavy and Marine Corps

Within the Department of the Navy, assigned forces include the entire operating forces of the United States Navy, including naval aviation, and the United States Marine Corps. These forces are organized, trained, and equipped primarily for prompt and sustained combat operations at set, and for air and land operations incident thereto. Of the three major Services, the Navy has primary interest in all operations at set, except in those operations otherwise assigned herein.

A. Primary Functions

1. To organize, train, and equip Navy and Marine Forces for the conduct of prompt and sustained combat operations at sea, including operations of sea-based aircraft and their land-based naval air components. Specifically:

a. To seek out and destroy enemy naval forces and to suppress enemy sea commerce.

b. To gain and m intain general sea supremacy.

c. To control vital sea areas and to protect vital sea lines of communication.

d. To establish and maintain local superiority (including air) in an area of naval operations.

e. To seize and defend advanced naval bases and to conduct such land operations as may be essential to the prosecution of a naval campaign.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - Navy

2. To conduct air operations as nucessary for the accomplishment of objectives in a naval campaign.

3. To organize and equip, in coordination with the other Services, and to provide Naval forces, including Naval close air support forces, for the conduct of joint amphibious operations, and to be responsible for the amphibious training of all forces as assigned for joint amphibious operations in accordance with the policies and dectrines of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

4. To develop, in coordination with the other Services, the doctrines, procedures, and equipment of naval forces for amphibious operations, and the doctrines and procedures for joint amphibious operations.

5. To furnish adequate, timely, and reliable intelligence for the Navy and Marine Corps.

6. To be responsible for nevel reconnaissance, antisubmarine warfare, the protection of shipping, and for mine laying, including the air aspects thereof.

7. To provide air transport essential for naval operations.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - Navy

8. To provide sea-based air defense and the sea-based means for coordinating control for defense against air attack, coordinating with the other Services in matters of joint concern.

9. To provide naval (including naval air) forces as required for the defense of the United States against air attack, in accordance with joint doctrines and procedures approved by the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

10. To furnish aerial photography as necessary for naval and Marine Corps operations.

11. To maintain the United States Marine Corps, which shall include land combat and service forces and such aviation as may be organic therein. Its specific functions are:

a. To provide Fleet Marine Forces of combined arms, together with supporting air components, for service with the Fleet in the seizure or defense of advanced naval bases and for the conduct of such land operations as may be essential to the prosecution of a naval compaign. These functions do not contemplate the creation of a second land army.

b. To provide detachments and organizations for service on armed vessels of the Nevy, and security detachments for the protection of naval property at naval stations and bases.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - Navy

c. To develop, in coordination with the Army, the Mavy, and the Air Force, the tactics, technique, and equipment employed by landing forces in amphibious operations. The Marine Corps shall have primary interest in the development of those landing force tactics, technique, and coupment which are of common interest to the Army and the Marine Corps.

<u>d</u>. To train and equip, as required, Marine Forces for airborne o crations, in coordination with the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force in accordance with policies and doctrines of the Joint Chiefs of Stiff.

e. To develop, in coordination with the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, doctrines, procedures, and equipment of interest to the Marine Corps for airborne operations and not provided for in Section IV, paragraph A 8.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - Navy

B. <u>Collateral Functions</u>. The forces developed and trained to perform the primary functions set forth above shall be employed to support and supplement the other Services in carrying out their primary functions, where and whenever such participation will result in increased effectiveness and will contribute to the accomplishment of the over-ill military objectives. The Joint Chiefs of Staff member of the Service having primary responsibility for a function shall be the agent of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to present to that body the requirements for and plans for the employment of all forces to carry out the function. He shall also be responsible for presenting to the Joint Chiefs of Staff for final decision any disagreement within the field of his primary responsibility which has not been resolved. This shall not be construed to prevent any number of the Joint Chiefs of Staff from presenting unilaterally any issue of disagreement with another Service. Certain specific collateral functions of the Mavy and Marine Corps are listed below:

1. To interdict energy land and air power and communications through operation at sea.

2. To conduct close air support for land operations.

3. To furnish aerial photography for cartographic purposes.

4. To be prepared to participate in the over-all air effort as directed by the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - USAF

Section VI - Functions of the United States Air Force ...

The United States Air Force includes air combat and service forces. It is organized, trained, and equipped primarily for prompt and sustained combat operations in the air. Of the three major Services, the Air Force has primary interest in all operations in the air, except in those operations otherwise assigned herein.

A. Primary Functions

1. To organize, train and equip Air Force forces for the conduct of prompt and sustained combat operations in the air. Specifically:

a. To be responsible for defense of the United States against air attack in accordance with the policies and procedures of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

b. To gain and maintain general air supremacy.

c. To defeat enemy air forces.

d. To control vital air greas.

e. To establish local air superiority except as otherwise assigned herein.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - USAF

2. To formulate joint doctrines and procedures, in coordination with the other Services, for the defense of the United States against air attack, and to provide the Air Force units, facilities, and equipment required therefor.

3. To be responsible for strategic air warfare.

4. To organize and equip Air Force forces for joint amphibious and airborne operations, in coordination with the other Services, and to provide for their training in accordance with policies and doctrines of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

5. To furnish close combat and logistical air support to the Army, to include air lift, support, and resupply of airborne operations, aerial photography, tactical reconnaissance, and interdiction of eneny land power and communications.

6. To provide air transport for the Arned Forces except as otherwise assigned.

7. To provide Air Force forces for land-based sir defense, coordinating with the other Services in matters of joint concern.

8. To develop, in coordination with the other Services, doctrines, procedures, and coulpment for air defense from land areas, including the continental United States.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - USAF

9. To provide an organization cap ble of furnishing adecuate, timely, and reliable intelligence for the Air Force.

10. To furnish aerial photography for cortographic purposes.

11. To develop, in coordination with the other Services, tactics, technique, and equipment of interest to the Air Force for amphibicus operations and not provided for in Section V, paragraph A 4 and paragraph A 11 \underline{c} .

12. To develop, in coordination with the other Services, doctrines, procedures, and equipment employed by Air Force forces in airborne operations.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Aerospace Systems 1948 Key West Agreement - USAF

B. <u>Collateral Functions</u>. The forces developed and trained to perform the primary functions set forth above shall be employed to support and supplement the other Services in carrying out their primary functions, where and whenever such participation will result in increased effectiveness and will contribute to the accomplishment of the over-all military objectives. The Joint Chiefs of Staff member of the Service having primary responsibility for a function shall be the agent of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to present to that body the requirements for and plans for the employment of all forces to carry out the function. He shall also be responsible for presenting to the Joint Chiefs of Staff for final decision any disagreement within the field of his primary responsibility which has not been resolved. This shall not be construed to prevent any member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff from presenting unilaterally any issue of disagreement with another Service. Certain specific collateral functions of the Air Force are listed below:

- 1. To interdict energy sea power through air operations.
- 2. To conduct antisubmarine warfare and to protect shipping.
- 3. To conduct serial minelaying operations.

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Overall Directions Civil: Military: **Basic Operating Basic Operating Statements Statements Mission Statement Mission Statement Operating Documents Operating Documents**

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Civil Corporations

Abbreviated Operating Statements

Just a few words

Copyright © R.M. Barrett All rights reserved

Describes overall corporate direction

Example: FAA: "Safety is our passion"



KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Abbreviated Operating Statements

- Just a few words
- Describes overall corporate direction

Example -- Army:







KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military

Abbreviated Operating Statements

- Just a few words
- Describes overall corporate direction

Example -- Navy:





KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Military Abbreviated Operating Statements

- Just a few words
- Describes overall corporate direction

Example -- USAF:



KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Civil Corporations

Abbreviated Operating Statements

- Just a few words
- Describes overall corporate direction

Example: Pratt and Whitney: "Reliable Engines"



Pratt & Whitney GTF™ Engines Achieve World-class Reliability

EAST HARTFORD, Conn., December 18, 2020 - Pratt & Whitney, a division of Raytheon Technologies Corp. (NYSE: RTX), today announced that GTF engines powering the A320neo family have achieved a world-class engine dispatch reliability rate of 99.98%. The GTF engine powers more than 900 aircraft across nearly 50 airlines and three aircraft families: Airbus A320neo, Airbus A220 and Embraer E-Jets E2. GTF engines have saved more than 400 million gallons of fuel and over 3.8 million metric tonnes of carbon emissions since they entered service in 2016.

"Thanks to upgrades completed in close coordination with our customers in 2020, GTF engines for the A320neo family are now delivering industry-leading reliability," said Carroll Lane, president of Commercial Engines at Pratt & Whitney. "When you combine this with our best-in-class fuel efficiency and low carbon emissions, it's easy to see why GTF-powered fleets have seen high utilization as the industry begins to recover."

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Civil Corporations

Abbreviated Operating Statements

- Just a few words
- Describes overall corporate direction

Example: Airbus: "We make it fly"

We Make It Fly From Startup to Aerospace Giant: The Airbus Story

Welcome to the Airbus Podcast, 'We Make It Fly'. Here you will find conversations on everything Airbus - what the company is currently up to across its several divisions, its plans for an innovative & sustainable futu ...More 🕥

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Civil Corporations Abbreviated Operating Statements

- Just a few words
- Describes overall corporate direction

Example: Boeing: "Build something better"





KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process Operating Documents Countless thousands of pages...



Copyright © R.M. Barrett All rights reserved

			Littletter street in the second	
			second test als -from the pitch with	archited in 154 Perch 476 are Series. Series
ARBUS	EMERGENCY PROCEEGRES		LINE and The Strength and Constraints	and which allow many to begin Agenesis Arabitation and Oliver Arabitation
43184318332643 0054557.007.0044	Severation		A set a local and a final design of the set of the set of the set of the set frame of the Set of the set of th	(a) C. S. C. S.
	HAN - ADR 1-D-3 FN B. F	10	(2007 Ant Ridden's Prophers, 19) (the or to observation is not need to	China, Barrowa, etilololina, and resultations around in Concepts Networks, a Sciencing Street or
THE DRIVE WERE ADDRESS OF THE OWNER	ATRIVES OF ARCELO	-	Concerning to the second secon	and do not a weat of the second state of the second state.
rementing Tool and scale last	NER SECOND		[2] R. & Strandston, Decement and D. Rescales of the latter is angle with the sequence of the particular decemposities. All International Computer Vision Sciences, 1997.	anna a d'Anni da Magina Ingerger Indea, 1977 (1973) Ser de la compositiva proprio proved de la deservicio Na tele compositiva de la compositiva de la compositiva de
Ball: Fight control	a av et advecte las Ante to NING ALCO - NUM DRE PROTECT	275	the state of the local date	
Deserved subset of			of Electrony and a contract of the	NY a contraction of the latter of the state
Turn of Tayl density			and the second of the second s	A. Landrins, A. gammer Tarring, Phys. Rev. Rev. 9, 602 (1993).
Deanner sold year			inania.	
Fij te ptet ate dit	fe speed scale		ALIEP & Conditioner and Research (See allocate of the contraction) (See allocate of the contraction) (See all the contraction (See See (See all the Contraction) (See See See	Equilible features it follows: Constitution for some 1 Forget of a state of the environment of the source of the or flower states for some for and the source of the following and the follows. The source of the implicit regioner of
The second secon	FOR BY MANY PLANTING, THE PACE 2771-71, DRUG	1100	N.W. P.I. Management of the same of the	frame Printer in Acad Transmission (1977)
2. Standy a	aturante may be unexizate		table second factors in the second se	te senten a coloridare "gillione a la Principale principale" Rede all'inte
3. The atitud	e digilajector no RFO is a GPS strain	1000	and the second second second second second	and the second dealer and the day
-27362	case presentation ejectrice reparates and to solve to solve to	210.1	ministration and a particular policies	New and Could dive the Court
5 Pluttike to	vel limite is incomplete, Rinks in ASA22-AUTORUT AUTORUT- ROD	1.000	A DECEMBER OF STREET, STREET, ST.	al-benet to Ministij Agrice et al
A Vite RIS	Construction of the Construction of the Construction States for Source Construction			
unterd scal	e, the fight one must developed the EUSS and separat pitch attracte an	2		
thrust inpo	ickg fight phase and alicent configuration to obtain and internal darg	- ())		
Do not use speed lines	ie.			
Mercure whitee				
2008	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL			
	and hand then a stranger research to reacher	UMITED 2	our crimer over	
10	n sep ng pertemanol conscions.	10/2011	HIRDLA IN HIS DOG.	
		Increta: I	CAPON OF ADDRESS.	
	110-2.1		Wielskurtl,	want in Substitute
	The state			
	- 7	100	IDCARF MINISTERIA, INC.)	
2	Department of Toxic Substances Control	(0.35)	CORPORTING A	Estate or particular
-	Manual Manual Inc. Inc.	Taxa Barret	CONCAPTION.	
1	Design for the second s	Analy .	S COMPOSITION . Sector and a registration to composition to comp	
teing	(amony 22, 252)		PT. VEL. PT. COMPARISON COMPARISON IN COMPARISON INCOMES COMPARISON	
-ofer	Mr. Maluari Bourn		And South and	
	The Basing Company		F BOLDOMETRIE,	
300	stanta susana rielit Laboratory 5800 Wicobey Cenyon Road		(Nationalized a	1
ibe	Ceroge Tark, Cellinnia 21504.1148		S282.4152	10000
e les	OFFICE TO ENTRY AND NON-BINDING CONFIDENTIAL MEDIATION ADDING TO PRIME WITHE BANKA SUBJAME FIELD FARMER THEY BE	WITH SHARE	ing order the disastion of the	A Accounty
	DISPUTE DATED DECEMBER & 2019		mysic mater, sature that a	1 101 AT110
	Dea W. Breet		NUMBER AND DESCRIPTION AND DESCRIPTION	advision real
	I am enting you to formally other than the Galifornia Department of Town 8	abstances.		
	Control (D ¹ DC) and The Boarry Company (Boarry) enter the two binding metalation in an adversed to reserve Energy's Deservation 6, 2018 classific via	g. confidential Pr DTDC's	COULTRY WE STREET	
	which many change whether the second Change and the second s		mand state by Middland diddle	in manufil Mar

and over the later 191 811 - Charter & Sense Shanima of Invariant Shaney And Address of the Address of the

No. of Concession, Name

and we want to be an Algorithm Resident for safe a re- word to be a first of the second

```
I his papetering it as accounting a lot of the late information of some in-
1 Yesterdig - 6 action to a proceeder to be out constituted to be during a second
    Reportings. The organi difference is line or propriet on the local sectors of a
```

and the second 1. Supreme Assessment up in from the spectrum conception of Hardwellar ("In designation defines, "His particular fills a discriminant or the

the generation, because and taxingeneed in the objections Anatal diaset here:

- * constraints
- · Angelal Ith An an Arlandi, Star Science de antide en Array archet.
- Bushenger & course from
- 1 April Table
- 1 Teachers and all statistics reacting to MI
- 4. Ministrative in the inclusion of a descent degree but a strain service of a line solution of the local set of the service of and the inclusion
- 1. Another Refs. To construct and a star star of the second sec

interest in the state of the

resident doo has make of president large manufactor pand of

CONDITIONS OF MEMBERSHIP TO THE ITHALYS CORPORATE FAREIS' PROCEAM (TEP) - Date 81-01-2020

1. APPLICATION OF FROM MORE AND CONCIDENT

Kiming C. Barry, a patient standard and a contrast sequence of some the base of hadgest business sequences after a contrast standard memory and contrast. In page, a spectra and in the barry dependence of the barry of the ba

The Full COPT, offers they a concerner, have if SCT, is course there is a concerner at the concerner of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the COPT o

View point and the point of a point is the set of the 1. OR ALL DO THE PROPERTY.

The same of the Property is a grant for Kenner Campain (as call on later) and up to an interaction relation where periodicity Costs These These is according with the terms of parameters as according to a scheduler and the terms of the

access 2147 taxed of a person incompetence of the basis access persons of personality of each persons of personality of each persons of personality of each persons of persons of the each persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons of the persons of the persons of the each persons of the persons o

en Germa Doninan en oron Pelo provido

a librate Constant Assessed ins

of its its field to be firsted off port heats. Tasks multiple a by the thermal hampung. The school the filmstony Costs are in a first ratio are contained by the

(LBBEIND

reliable, parpore, tel Mindles el Bole Interchantes¹⁴ Miller (for james la ASSE Curple Nel Breira, esplante la cherciale conclusione en ar anna fano, for Earl' under minera subate la conclusione conclusione administra (aplicateración el fero plagon) nuel ba-proportiu insplant e manament quel.

The first start is 10% (Copyreture, the Copyret refer of discours is transmitted to all which a question provide the Copyreture and the probability of the copyreture institute question provides of the of Bings constants constants. The copyreture is the copyreture is the copyreture is the copyreture of the copyreture is the copyret is the copyreture is the copyreture is the copyreture is

Chicage Copposation (His. 8, 2015), in which the TBW conclused in the seduction of a prograd requesting a report on the company's community is continued inputtion in loss entropysed for supervise high-state company, show the company's loss and the secondary discovery of the company's concentration, where the company's loss and the paintime, of the discovery of the company of the content of the "supervised formation" with the paintime, of the discovery of the company of the content of the supervised formation.

determines on the respect is produce due to respectively flow from the gradients of the properties of the section of the se

R Debug Registration

KU Aerospace Design

Aircraft Design Process

1. Military Leaders, Business Team, & Management Assess Need for a New Aerospace Vehicle or System



2. Mission Specification and Profile Generated

3. Engineering Teams Develop Designs to Meet Mission

4. Designs Synthesized



KU Aerospace Design

Aerospace System Management Tools:

- **1. Heilmeir's Catechisms**
- 2. Quad Charts







- 3. Concept of Technology (ConTech)
- 4. Concept of Operations (ConOps)
- 5. Technology Readiness Level (TRL)
- 6. Systems Engineering (SE)



KU Aerospace Design

Technology, System and Vehicle Descriptions

Heilmeier's Catechism

- What are you trying to do? Articulate your objectives using absolutely no jargon. What is the problem? Why is it hard?
- How is it done today, and what are the limits of current practice?
- What's new in your approach and why do you think it will be successful?
- Who cares?
- If you're successful, what difference will it make? What impact will success have? How will it be measured?
- What are the risks and the payoffs?
- How much will it cost?
- How long will it take?
- What are the midterm and final "exams" to check for success? How will progress be measured?





University of Kansas Transportation Research institute
Ultra-High Sensitivity Acoustic Vector Sensor

What are we trying to do?

Develop an acoustic vector sensor with an order of magnitude higher sensitity levels for effective acoustic radar, UAV sense & avoid, countersniper, quiet UAV sensing etc...

How does this currently get done?

Doppler shift & conventional ACS techniques

Who does it now? MicroFlown, ShotSpotter, Boomerang

What limits present approaches? Low-Rn aerodynamics effects on filaments

What is new about our approach? Dynamic filament sweeping sheds laminar boundary layer & increases sensing sweep area

Why, at this time, can our approach succeed? New method just proven in the lab less than 4 mos. ago, provisional patent filed just weeks ago

What difference does our approach offer? Order of magnitude greater AVS sensitivity, impervious to atmospheric foulants

What are the "mid-term" and "final exams?" i. Anechoic Test ii. Flight Test

How much will our approach cost? ~\$1M over 3 yrs for flying POC

2120 Learned Hall, The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66044 (785) 864-2226 barrettr@ku.edu
KU Aerospace Design

Quad Charts



Adaptive Self-Correcting T/R Module PI: Wendy Edelstein, JPL

Objective

- Develop a practical and low cost adaptive L-band T/R module with integrated calibrator for use in phasestable array antennas for interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) applications.
- Performance goals are <1 deg absolute phase stability and <0.1 dB absolute amplitude stability over temperature.
- Technologies include high efficiency L-band T/R module; integrated phase/amplitude detector; closed-loop detection and correction circuitry.



Approach:

Modify an existing high-efficiency L-band T/R module with built-in calibrator by:

- Developing a stable closed-loop amplitude and phase detector circuit.
- 2. Integrating the calibrator circuit into the L-band T/R module.
- 3. Characterizing performance over temperature to demonstrate ability to self-correct for variations in insertion phase or amplitude.

Key Milestones

•	Requirements, architecture, design	7/06
•	Breadboard demo (TRL 5)	1/07
•	Build T/R with integrated calibrator	7/07
•	Prototype validation (TRL 6)	1/08

TRL_{in} = 4





04/07

University of Kansas Transportation Research institute
Supersonic Hovering Aerial Vehicle (SHAV) for Counterpiracy

Hover – Transonic – Supersonic UAV/Weapon System



Description/Objectives/Methods

• New aircraft configuration & propulsion technology enables counterpiracy interdiction UAV

• New multi-mode powerplant is designed to function as a turboshaft in hover, turbojet ithrough the transonic and pressure-assisted ramjet through the high supersonic

• 13 years of convertible aircraft design expertise including the world's only hovering missile underpin the program via CFD, FEM & MDO techniques.

• Posted Videos show production, fielded Subsonic Hovering Missile Prototypes pioneered by the TRI team:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6448lIxJ3pE&feature=related http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k2mFhrjfoFQ&feature=related http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1CCbo hWS-U&feature=related

Budget & Schedule



Budget: \$5.5M/yr

2120 Learned Hall, The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66044 (785) 864-2226 barrettr@ku.edu

Ultra-High Sensitivity Acoustic Vector Sensor (AVS)

AVS is a mechanical analog to what bats do.



Military Impact

Problem: Current forms of acoustic sensing technologies like ShotSpotter and Boomerang have limited accuracy levels, perform poorly when exposed to periodic signals (like propellers & turbines) and are easily confused by reflected waves.

• UAV sense and avoid systems, passive acoustic radar and highly accurate countersniper systems will enabled.

• Acoustic spatial sensing akin to that of bats is now enabled by this new technology

Description/Objectives/Methods

• A newly invented system improves the accuracy levels of the world's leading AVS systems by nearly an order of magnitude

• Because the leading AVS systems are foreign, other armed forces are taking advantage of this branch of technology to make highly advanced countersniper systems. Currently, the US has no edge in this area.

• This important improvement allows for nearly an order of mangitude in accuracy via increasing swept sensor areas, while maintaining nascent sensor form factor. Low Rn effects are mitigated by very high speed dynamic sweep patterns. The ultimate goal is to give vehicles the same situational awareness that bats possess either passively or actively.

Budget & Schedule

Task	FY 10	FY11	FY12
1. UHSAVS Design			
2. UHSAVS Fabrication		_	
3. UHSAVS Aneochic Test		_	
4. UHSAVS Field Testing		_	
5. Data Reduction			

Budget: \$300k/yr

2120 Learned Hall, The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66044 (785) 864-2226 barrettr@ku.edu

Concept of Technology

ConTech

Definition: A Concept of Technology (CONTECH) is a user-oriented document that "describes the characteristics of a particular technology from a user's perspective. A CONTECH also describes the interface with the whole machine, vehicle, system and/or system of systems and describes how it will enhance a particular mission characteristic on levels big and small."



1. During steady flight, the PAH wing is trimmed at a given C_L .

2. Upon entering gust field, the PAH trailing edge deflects upwards, relieving lift, maintaining steady flight.

3. At the center of the downburst, the airfoil retrims itself to camber neutral.

4. As the aircraft exits the gust field, the trailing edge deflects hard down, maximizing CL, maintaining steady flight.

Concept of Operations

ConOps

Definition: A Concept of Operations (CONOPS) is a user-oriented document that "describes systems characteristics for a proposed system from a user's perspective. A CONOPS also describes the user organization, mission, and objectives from an integrated systems point of view and is used to communicate overall quantitative and qualitative system characteristics to stakeholders."

Very Basic Conops Example



Basic Conops Example



Basic Conops Example



RAM 7 Conference 2014

Why SLED-STAMP?

- Problem = Lack of Maintenance Situational Awareness
 - Solution = Real Time "TOOL" = SLED-STAMP
 - o Maintenance planning (P4T2) based on real-time, over-the-air fault reports
 - Improved accuracy and consistency in fault reporting
 - o Provides Maintenance Production Control with useful planning data
 - o Reduces troubleshooting time; potential increases in availability



Maintenance Concept of Operations (CONOPS) driven design

Approved for public release. Reproduction of materials may be subject to copyright laws. Non-Technical / Administrative Data Only. Not subject to EAR or ITAR Export Regulations.



3

Conops Example Figure



Conops Example Figure



Installed

SITE NORTH POWER PLANT

Conops Example Figure + Verbiage

Plant

ENSURING SUFFICIENT REDUNDANCY

2012 Peak

2011 Peak

SITE CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS

INTRODUCTION

ACME

For this contract, we will install new power plants that use new, better fuel and power efficient generators to ensure the required loads outlined in the RFP, including well over the 20 percent additional capacity required. These plants will provide power packages of 22MW at 4.16KV/60Hz and 12MW at 11KV/60Hz at the Central Power Plant and one 4.3MW at 4.16kV/80Hz and 3.7MW at 11kV/50Hz on the North Power Plant power plant. We designed each power plant to operate in temperature and altitude environment at Site using JP-8 fuel.

Our upgraded plants will utilize the existing fuel tanks and high voltage distribution systems already installed and operational within the power plants. This eliminates the need to remove and install new fuel tanks, step-up transformers, and cabling. Additionally, we are installing new switchgear that allows for preventive maintenance and repair of transformers to be completed without interruptions to the power supply.

SITE CENTRAL POWER PLANT

Plant Design/Layout

- · Modular layout allows for expansion

- · Proven reliability in harsh environments
- **Generating Capability**
- Al Generators

New Plant's Plant Design/Layout INCORPORATED Additional Load (KW) Load (KW) Capacity (KW) Redundancy (%) · Same design and equipment as Central Plant 60 Hz generating capability expandable by up to 8 MW including 1.812 1,800 3,700 100 North (50Hz) additional generators, step up transformers and switchgoar Central (50Hz) 3,453 6,800 12,000 76 for an additional two high voltage ring circuits. North (60Hz) 1.082 1,290 4,300 200 **Generating Capability** Central (60Hz) 17.686 12 010 22,000 24 60Hz/50Hz Capacity Peak Loads, Current Capacity, and Redundancy - We designed our new Generators will be replaced plants to provide well over 20 percent additional redundancy in power with more fuel efficient models. capability. This design was based on our experience and knowledge of Site requirements. Generators will be removed SREORMANCE DASHBOARD from the 60 Hz plant due to AFGHANETAN efficiency increase. DUBAJ We Know the Grid · Unrivaled, intimate knowledge of network Able to guickly locate network failures Master ACME · More efficient generators means less units required Scheduling Work, Quality, · Significant improvement in fuel efficiency **PM Plan** Safety 307 High Voltage Evaluate Transformers are Performa 22 MW for 60 Hz Supplies and 12 MW for 50Hz maintained on base 86 Spot generators MANAGING THE PROGRAM currently being serviced are inspected Every Hour Prepare MOBSHEET - Generators in Dubai, UAE Demobilization of Generators Packing of Ancillary Items, HV Cable, Ladder · Load out of containers to Dubai · Change over of Generators (both plants) racking, cables, etc. Installation of Fuel Lines. Shipping back to Dubai of Official Generators on Implementation Documentation for shipping containers site in storage area Installation of SCADA and PLC Controls Timeline MONTH 1 MONTH 2 MONTH 3 MONTH 6 Load out of equipment from Jebel Al- Installation of Transformer Sound Ducting ionerators will be replaced Shipping to Karachi (both plants) with more fuel efficient models. Clearance in Karachi and customs. · Prepare documentation for re-export of documentation demobilized generators otal generators will be removed from the · Road delivery to Site Central Plant due to efficiency increase.



Technology Readiness Level (TRL)



Actual system "flight proven" through successful mission operations

Actual system completed and "flight qualified" through test and demonstration (Ground or Flight)

System prototype demonstration in a space environment

System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment (Ground or Space)

Component and/or breadboard validation in relevant environment

Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory environment

Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof-of-concept

Technology concept and/or application formulated

Basic principles observed and reported

50

Introduction to Systems Engineering

"Some" concensus on precisely what SE is and what it does

 Some technologists profess to have the only "true" definitions, but there are no "absolute" terms. DoD, International Council on Systems Engineering (INCONSE), various professors all have their own slightly different language

• Basically: modern terminology and managerial jargon for what has been going on in the aerospace industry for more than a century

Problem vs Solution:

Problem vs Solution:





Scientific Disciplines e.g., Physics,

Humanistic Disciplines

direct input from

measured

and specified

data, metrics, etc.

disciplines

e.g., Psychology.

Culture, Rivetoric

Neuroscience

Introduction to Systems Engineering



Identifying, exploring, and understanding patterns of complexity through contributions from

Foundations

Meta-theories of Methodology, Ontology, Epistemology, Axiology, Praxiology (theory of effective action), Teleology, Semiotics and Semiosis, Categories, etc.

Theories General Systems Theory, Systems Models, Dynamics, Networks, Pathology, Complexity, Anticipatory Systems, Cybernetics, Autopoiesis, Living Systems, Science of Generic Design, Organization Theory, etc.

Representations

Cellular Automata, Life Cycles, Queues, Graphs, Rich Pictures, Narratives, Games and Dramas, Agent-based Simulations, etc.

Pragmatic Disciplines e.g., Accounting, Design, Law

Formal Disciplines e.g., Math, Logic, Computation

practice informs theory

SYSTEMS THINKING Appreciative and reflective practice using

'systems-paradigm' concepts, principles, patterns, etc.

theory informs practice

SYSTEMS APPROACHES TO PRACTICE

Addressing complex problems/opportunities using methods, tools, frameworks, practice patterns, etc.

Pragmatic, Pluralist, or Critical multi-methodology uses heuristics, prototyping, model unfolding, boundary critiques, etc., to understand assumptions, contexts, and constraints, including complexity from stakeholder values and valuations; chooses appropriate mix of 'hard', 'soft', and custom methods; sees systems as networks, societies of agents, organisms, ecosystems, rhizomes, discourses, machines, etc.

'Hard' methods are suited to solving well-defined problems with reliable data, clear optimization goals, and at most objective complexity; use machine metaphor and realist/functionalist foundations.

"Soft" methods are suited to structuring problems involving incomplete data, unclear goals, perspective and role complexity, etc.; use learning system metaphor and constructivist/interpretivist foundations.

input from experience and legacy practices

solicited local values, knowledge, etc.

ittp://www.systemspraxis.org

Outcomes

Actions Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License © 2012 International Federation for Systems Research





1937	British multidisciplinary team to analize the air defence system
1939-45	Bell Labs supports NIKE development (1st US operational anti-aircraft missile system) and Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles (ICBM) Program.
1951-80	SAGE (Semi-automatic Ground Enviroment) Air Defense System defined and managed by MIT/Jay Forrester
1956	Invention of systems analysis by RAND corp.
1960-70	Apollo Program First SE standards (e.g. MIL-STD 499, NASA procedures)
1962	Publication of Arthur D. Hall – A Methodology for Systems Engineering
1989	EIA recognizes SE as important part of system development
1990	NCOSE is founded
1990-2000	Release of SE standards IEEE 1220, EIA 632
1994	NCOSE renamed to INCOSE
2002	Release of ISO/IEC 15288
2008	App. 6500 INCOSE members worldwide
2009-2012	Systems Engineering Body of Knowledge (SEBoK)
2019	17000+ INCOSE members worldwide (70+ Chapters 35+ Countries)
2023	INCOSE Systems Engineering Handbook version 5



The Systems Engineering Process



System Requirements

1. Mandatory requirements insure that the system satisfies the customer's operational need. Mandatory requirements (1) specify the necessary and sufficient conditions that a minimal system must have in order to be acceptable (2) must be passed or failed, there is no middle ground, and (3) must not be susceptible to trade-offs between requirements. Typical mandatory requirements might be of the following form: The system shall not violate federal, state or local laws. Mandatory requirements state the minimal requirements necessary to satisfy the customer's need.

2. Tradeable Requirements (Objectives) are evaluated to determine the preferred designs. Tradeoff requirements (1) should state conditions that would make the customer happier (2) should use scoring functions to evaluate the criteria, and (3) should be evaluated with multicriterion decision aiding techniques because there will be trade-offs between these requirements.

Sometimes there is a relationship between mandatory and tradeoff requirements, e.g. a mandatory requirement might be a lower threshold value for a tradeoff requirement. The words optimize, maximize, minimize and simultaneous should not be used in stating

Quality function deployment (QFD) is useful in identifying system requirements

Verify and validate requirements

Each requirement should be verified by logical argument, inspection, modeling, simulation, analysis, test or demonstration. Validating requirements means ensuring that

- 1) the recommended solution satisfies the actual needs of the customer
- 2) the description of the requirements is consist and complete
- 3) a system model can satisfy the requirements
- 4) a real-world solution can be tested to prove that it satisfies the requirements.

Requirements are often validated by reference to an existing system that meets most of the requirements.



ISO 15288

Agreement Processes	Organizational Project-Enabling Processes	Technical Management Processes	Technical Processes
Acquisition Process	Life Cycle Model Management Process	Project Planning Process	Business or Mission Analysis Process
Supply Process	Infrastructure Management Process	Process Assessment and Control Process	Stakeholder Needs & Requirements Definition Process
	Portfolio Management Process	Decision Management Process	System Requirements Definition Process
	Human resource Management Process	Risk Management Process	Architecture Definition Process
	Quality Management Process	Configuration Management Process	Design Definition Process
	Knowledge Management Process	Information Management Process	System Analysis Process
		Measurement Process	Implementation Process
		Quality Assurance Processy	Integration Process
			Verification Process
			Transition Process
			Validation Process
			Operation Process
			Maintenance Process
			Disposal Process





A role is the part that is played within a specific work process and within the company. Systems engineers will typically hold many positions, each with a different combination of roles.



Desired SE Personality Traits

ability to see the big picture-yet get into the details

comfortable with change

diverse technical skillsability to apply sound technical judgment

> exceptional two-way communicator

intellectual curiosityability and desire to learn new things

> behavioral characteristics of a good systems engineer

connections comfortable with

ability to make

system-wide

uncertainty and unknowns

proper paranoiaexpect the best, but plan for the worst

strong team member and leader

appreciation for process-rigor and knowing when to stop

self confidence and decisiveness-short of arrogance

 $\stackrel{\frown}{\propto}$



KU Aerospace Design



KU Aerospace Design

Thursday 12 September 2023

Today's Schedule:

- Call Roll
- Service/Outreach Sign-Ups:

Mon. 10/23 Eisenhower Middle School, Abilene

Mon. 10/30 Wamego Middle School

Sat. 12/2 Flint Hills Discovery Center, Manhattan

Sat. 12/9 Flint Hills Discovery Center, Manhattan

? Farley Elementary visit to KU Campus

- Mid-Semester Jeopardy/Quiz Questionnaire
- Competitions
- Small Report 5

AEROSPAGE JEOPARDY!

Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Fall 2023 Outreach Activities Driver/Rider signups

	Mon.	Mon.	Sat.	Sat.			Mon.	Mon.	Sat.	Sat.
	10/23	10/30	12/2	12/9			10/23	10/30	12/2	12/9
Ativie,Joseph			х			Linthavong,Cherry			х	
Bailey,Lucy M						Lofland,Chris C	х	х		
Barland,Jack A	х		х			Marshall,Jeb O	х		х	х
Bonham,Maggie E		х				Mays,Benjamin S		х		
Braaten,Niels C		х				Mcmichael,Barrett			х	х
Caulfield,Camden Lee						Mcnulty,Jack B		х		
Coppens,Ryan						Mistretta, Anthony J		х		
Dargahi,Alex			х		İ	Olson,Kadin Lee	х		х	
Denault,Carson Robert		х				Platt,Charlie M		х		
Deng,Keyu			х			Poznanski,Joshua	х	х	х	х
Dillon,Peter						Reida,Reanne N			х	х
Dodge,Andrew						Reidy,Macoy M		х	х	
Dunlay,Joshua P	х				İ	Relan,Jennifer	х	х	х	х
Dutta,Sap						Richardson, Jake	х		х	х
Foster,Dean C	х		х	х		Russell,Lucas S	х		х	х
Gillies,Gunnar			х	х		Schneider,Cade W	х		х	х
Goudschaal-Frazier,Gracyn Jane						Shah,Dhairya	х	х		
Guzman, Jonathan Alan		х				Sullivan, Tim Michael				
Harder,Samuel A			х	х		Sutton, Joshua T				х
Heide,Rhett Gile	х		х			Svoboda,Benjamin C		х		
Horst,Evelyn			х			Thorson, Johnathan A		х		
Hunt,Wesley Afra	х					To,Hoang Minh			х	
Junnare,Nupoor	х	х				Torok, Jackson P				х
Keathley,Liliana Gabriel						Torres Leon, Hector	х		х	
King,Kathryn M						Waggoner,Alex				х
Kuligowski,Payton M			х	х		Wall,James Edgar			х	
Larsen, Isaac		х		х		Wegiel,Jeremy L				



UPCOMING AEROSPACE JEOPARDY TOPICS

Game 3	Commercial Transports	al General Aviation Fighters Aircraft Designers Aerodynamics		Stability & Control		
Game 4	Amphibians	Homebuilts	Interceptors	Politicians	Performance	Materials & Processes
Game 5	Bombers	Autogyros	Lighter than Air	Military Leaders	Propulsion	Structures
Game 6	Before WWI	Naval Aviation	Transport Helicopters	Aerospace Professors	Strength of Materials	Fluid Mechanics
Game 7	Gliders	X-Planes	Launch Vehicles	Astronauts	Weight Sizing	Conversions
Game 8	ame 8 Cold War Tactical Missiles Commercial Transports		Commercial Transports	First Flights	Stability and Control	Piston Engines
Game 9	me 9 Russian Aerospace European Aerospace Canadia		Canadian Aerospace	Doctrines & Agreements	Rocket Engines	Jet Engines
Game 10	Experimental Airplanes	Experimental Rotorcraft	Attack Airplanes	Corporate Leaders	Wing Sizing	Configurations
Game 11	Business Transports	Prehistoric Aerospace Devices & Lore	VTOL Aircraft	KU Professors	Stability and Control	Exoatmospherics
Game 12	NASA Trivia	Surface-to-Air Missiles	Unusual Weapons	Aerospace Laws	The Atmosphere	Materials & Processes
Game 13	Military Transports	Pioneer Years	Low Observables Aircraft	Combat Pilots	Supersonic Aerodynamics	Aircraft Configurations
Game 14	Aerospace Economics	Strategic Missiles	Hard-Launched Munitions	Aerospace Museums	Guidance, Navigation & Control	Aerospace Safety Practices
Game 15	Urban Air Mobility	Flying Toys and Sports	Air Crashes and Fatalities	KU & KUAE History	Design Practices	Circuits and Instrumentation
Game 16	Record Setters	Failed Designs	Aerospace Scandals & Cover-Ups	Dirty Politics	Design Practices	Finite Element Methods

AE 521 Jeopardy/Quiz Questionnaire

(Circle your choices)

Do you want Dr. B. to continue with the buzzer quiz/Jeopardy type edutainment games in the last hour of class periods? Yes No

Do you want Dr. B. to revert to straight up "normal" Powerpoint buzzer quiz format or keep the Jeopardy format? "Normal" Buzzer Quiz format Jeopardy format

Do you feel that you have learned or are learning from the buzzer quiz/Jeopardy edutainment games? Yes No

Suggestions for improvement:

Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Why do we compete?



-Most things you will do in your working life will be competitive

Why do we compete?

i.) Proper training -Most things you will do in your working life will be competitive

- ii.) Enable you to work as a member of a competitive team -Think strategically
 - -Hone time and personnel management skills
 - -Make a better product/outcome than the competition



72
Why do we compete?

- i.) Proper training -Most things you will do in your working life will be competitive
- ii.) Enable you to work as a member of a competitive team -Think strategically
 - -Hone time and personnel management skills
 - -Make a better product/outcome than the competition
- iii.) Demonstrate your skills to others outside of KU
 - -Helps you, personally
 - -Helps maintain the reputation of KUAE grads w/in industry
 - -Enhances your employability & that of future Jayhawks
 - -Helps maintain national rankings of KUAE Department



Copyright © R.M. Barrett All rights reserved







Why do we compete?

- i.) Proper training -Most things you will do in your working life will be competitive
- ii.) Enable you to work as a member of a competitive team -Think strategically
 - -Hone time and personnel management skills
 - -Make a better product/outcome than the competition
- iii.) Demonstrate your skills to others outside of KU
 - -Helps you, personally
 - -Helps maintain the reputation of KUAE grads w/in industry
 - -Enhances your employability & that of future Jayhawks
 - -Helps maintain national rankings of KUAE Department
- iv.) Solidify friendships you'll need them
- v.) Have a better life









Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Spring Competitions AE 522/722 Teams

- Seniorocracies, NOT Democracies
- Good to recruit both <Seniors & non-AE's
 - Juniors are generally best good CAD
 - Underclassmen used for STAMPED data
 - Photoshop, Paint, Illustrator skills useful
 - Architecture & Design students great renderings
 - Art students awesome for real artwork





Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Spring Competitions AE 522/722 Teams

- Seniorocracies, NOT Democracies
- Good to recruit both <Seniors & non-AE's
 - Juniors are generally best good CAD
 - Underclassmen used for STAMPED data
 - Photoshop, Paint, Illustrator skills useful
 - Architecture & Design students great renderings
 - Art students awesome for real artwork
- Sooner teams established, sooner recruiting begins
- Good team structure:
 - 1 Über-organizer
 - + 2-4 Motivated AE Seniors
 - + 1-2 AE Juniors with great CAD chops
 - + 1-2 AE Underclassmen
 - + 1-3 Arch./Design/Art students



Spring Classes & Activities

AE 522

- AIAA UGrad. competitions
- VFS UGrad. Competition
- (Special Design Spec. for non-competitors)



AE 592

• AIAA DBF - get 1-3 hrs tech. elective credit. Will NOT count as 2nd Design course



AE 722

- VFS Grad. Team VTOL
- AIAA Grad. Team Aircraft
- AIAA Grad. Team Missile



AE 522

- AIAA UGrad. Team Heavy Lift
 - We have great contacts among alumni to help
 - Judges stacked against us many with conflicts of interest
 - Largest field 54 competitors last year
 - Not after best concept, mostly diversity well-known of non-KU institutions
 - Last big win was 2014
 - Path to win award is to join up with foreign university, let them glue their name on the report, we do the work.
 - Winning concepts have been mixed, but mostly conservative

78

79

Spring Competitions – Structure & Judging

AE 522

• AIAA UGrad. Team Heavy Lift

- We have great contacts among alumni to help
- Judges stacked against us many with conflicts of interest
- Largest field 54 competitors last year
- Not after best concept, mostly diversity well-known of non-KU institutions
- Last big win was 2014
- Path to win award is to join up with foreign university, let them glue their name on the report, we do the work.
- Winning concepts have been mixed, but mostly conservative

• AIAA UGrad. Individual Strato. Payload

- Most other schools don't prepare individuals well for individual, so we're competitive
- Highest probability of winning a prize (we've done well in the past)
- NEWT is somebody's pet project must do deep research
- More work than team, but no team "baggage" to haul around

AE 522

• AIAA UGrad. Team Heavy Lift

- We have great contacts among alumni to help
- Judges stacked against us many with conflicts of interest
- Largest field 54 competitors last year
- Not after best concept, mostly diversity well-known of non-KU institutions
- Last big win was 2014
- Path to win award is to join up with foreign university, let them glue their name on the report, we do the work.
- Winning concepts have been mixed, but mostly conservative
- AIAA UGrad. Individual Strato. Payload
 - Most other schools don't prepare individuals well for individual, so we're competitive
 - Highest probability of winning a prize (we've done well in the past)
 - NEWT is somebody's pet project must do deep research
 - More work than team, but no team "baggage" to haul around
- VFS UGrad. Disaster Relief UAS
 - Competition tilted towards "big rotorcraft" schools
 - Good only for people who are truly interested in VTOL
 - Possible to crack with wind tunnel, CFD and/or flight tests
 - Winning concepts have been mixed some conservative, some aggressive

Los Los Ere right

80

AE 522

- AIAA UGrad. Team Heavy Lift
 - We have great contacts among alumni to help
 - Judges stacked against us many with conflicts of interest
 - Largest field 54 competitors last year
 - Not after best concept, mostly diversity well-known of non-KU institutions
 - Last big win was 2014
 - re & Graphics Arts students - Path to win award is to join up with foreign university, let them on the report, we do the work.
 - Winning concepts have been mixed, but mostly
- AIAA UGrad. Individual Strate
 - tect ner schools design, Architerrauals well for individual, so protection of the past of the p - Most other schools do induals well for individual, so we're competitive
 - Highest prot
 - Good ys pet project – must do deep research
 - work than team, but no team "baggage" to haul around
- VFS UGrad. Disaster Relief UAS
 - Competition tilted towards "big rotorcraft" schools
 - Good only for people who are truly interested in VTOL
 - Possible to crack with wind tunnel, CFD and/or flight tests
 - Winning concepts have been mixed some conservative, some aggressive

AE 592

- AIAA DBF
 - Great for team building
 - Weather a big factor
 - No straightforward way to win
 - Wonderful, but maddening crap shoot
 - Winners "game the rules" the best
 - Hardware at airport = obstacle, but manageable
 - Often devolves to \$ contest
 - National teams bring \$100+k worth of aircraft
 - Trip expensive & exhausting, but fun
 - Massive learning experience at all levels
 - Hiring managers love to hear about DBFish activities



83

Spring Competitions – Structure & Judging AE 722

- VFS Grad. Disaster Relief UAS
 - Really hard given top rotorcraft schools always win
 - We have "ace in the hole" in terms of experience & flight test data
 - Top rotorcraft schools teach 9 12 helicopter classes...
 - We teach 1 helicopter class... On a good year

- VFS Grad. Disaster Relief UAS
 - Really hard given top rotorcraft schools always win
 - We have "ace in the hole" in terms of experience & flight test data
 - Top rotorcraft schools teach 9 12 helicopter classes...
 - We teach 1 helicopter class... On a good year
- AIAA Grad. Team Aircraft Electric Sailplane
 - Judging straightforward, little funny business detected
 - We have strategic advantage via Prof. Depcik
 - This year challenging, but well within reach
 - Good chance of success

84

- VFS Grad. Disaster Relief UAS
 - Really hard given top rotorcraft schools always win
 - We have "ace in the hole" in terms of experience & flight test data
 - Top rotorcraft schools teach 9 12 helicopter classes...
 - We teach 1 helicopter class... On a good year
- AIAA Grad. Team Aircraft Electric Sailplane
 - Judging straightforward, little funny business detected
 - We have strategic advantage via Prof. Depcik
 - This year challenging, but well within reach
 - Good chance of success



- AIAA Grad. Team Rapid Reaction Satellite Launcher
 - Extremely tough, but fair. Graduates highly sought.
 - Top prize = well executed design on only what they want
 - Georgia Tech fields giant team & 3+ faculty + giant codes
 - 2nd & 3rd place = best technical solution, but not what they want

- VFS Grad. Disaster Relief UAS
 - Really hard given top rotorcraft schools always win
 - We have "ace in the hole" in terms of experience & Fight test data
 - Graphics Arts students - Top rotorcraft schools teach 9 - 12 helicopt
 - We teach 1 helicopter class... Op
- AIAA Grad. Jean
- IAA Grad. Team to get Design Judging Good to g
 - We have strategic advantage via Prof. Depcik
 - This year challenging, but well within reach
 - Good chance of success

Copyright © R.M. Barrett All rights reserved

AIAA Grad. Team Rapid Reaction Satellite Launcher

- Extremely tough, but fair. Graduates
- Top prize = well executed do Wang's CFD Student Georgia Tech field of Dr. Wang's CFD what they want Georgia Tech field of Dr. Wang's CFD student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2nd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2rd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2rd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2rd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2rd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2rd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's Student 2rd & 3rd Recruit some of Dr. Wang's S
 - est technical solution, but not what they want



Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Think about which one(s) you want to do...

Student	AIAA UGTeam Heavy Lift	AIAA UG Indiv. Strato Payload	AIAA GTeam Electric Sailplane	AIAA Missile	VFS Disaster Relief UAS	Other	Graduation
Ativie,Joseph	,	,	•				
Bailey,Lucy M							
Barland, Jack A							
Bonham,Maggie E							
Braaten,Niels C							
Caulfield,Camden Lee							
Coppens,Ryan							
Dargahi,Alex							
Denault,Carson Robert							
Deng,Keyu							
Dillon,Peter							
Dodge,Andrew							
Dunlay,Joshua P							
Dutta,Sap							
Foster,Dean C							
Gillies,Gunnar							
Goudschaal- Frazier,Gracyn Jane							
Guzman, Jonathan Alan							
Harder,Samuel A							
Heide,Rhett Gile							
Horst,Evelyn							
Hunt,Wesley Afra							
Junnare,Nupoor							
Keathley,Liliana Gabriel							
King,Kathryn M							
Kuligowski,Payton M							
Larsen,Isaac							

Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Think about which one(s) you want to do...

Student	AIAA UGTeam Heavy Lift	AIAA UG Indiv. Strato Payload	AIAA GTeam Electric Sailplane	AIAA Missile	VFS Disaster Relief UAS	Other	Graduation
Linthavong,Cherry							
Lofland,Chris C							
Marshall,Jeb O							
Mays,Benjamin S							
Mcmichael,Barrett							
Mcnulty,Jack B							
Mistretta,Anthony J							
Olson,Kadin Lee							
Platt,Charlie M							
Poznanski,Joshua							
Reida,Reanne N							
Reidy,Macoy M							
Relan, Jennifer							
Richardson,Jake							
Russell,Lucas S							
Schneider,Cade W							
Shah,Dhairya							
Sullivan, Tim Michael							
Sutton,Joshua T							
Svoboda,Benjamin C							
Thorson, Johnathan A							
To,Hoang Minh							
Torok,Jackson P							
Torres Leon,Hector							
Waggoner,Alex							
Wall,James Edgar							
Wegiel,Jeremy L							



AE 521 Small Report 5 Required Contents

Due Wednesday 18 October 8am to: kuaerodesign@gmail.com

Total Points: 50

Notes on Individual Assignment:

Note that the work to be performed for this report is to be done by an individual student. No other aid may be offered or given from other students or individuals outside of AE 521 course.

 AE521_Report5_StudentLastName.docx
 example: AE521_Report5_Snodgrass.docx

 AE521_Report5_StudentLastName.pdf
 example: AE521_Report5_Snodgrass.pdf

 Note that you must turn in docx, .pdf and coding files

What follows is a specification for the minimum required contents report. Your report <u>must</u> be organized in this manner. You may, within each section, add sub-sections if you so desire. Each chapter <u>must</u> start with a statement of purpose, except the Introduction and the Summary/Conclusions chapters.

Report Title: AE 521 Report 5 Competition Design Choice, ConOps, Mission Specification & Profile

Cover - This is a good idea for both the .doc and .pdf files as it will be necessary when the file is printed to a hard copy. Name all team members.

Title Page - This page should be the first page of the report (if a cover is used, then it will be within the cover page).

Acknowledgments – Optional, make them real. Thank Mom/Dad/HS Teachers/Pets as you feel appropriate.

Table of Contents - The table of contents shall be structured as follows:

page
iii
iv
1
3
3
5
5

etc.

Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Page Numbering - The first section of the report containing the list of symbols, acknowledgment, list of tables and list of figures etc. shall be numbered with lower case Roman numerals. The body shall be numbered sequentially with Arabic numerals 1, 2, 3, etc. The Appendices shall be numbered with the letter of the appendix first followed by a dash and a page number: A-1, A-2, A-3; B-1, B-2, B-3 etc.

List of Symbols - You must include any symbols that are used in this report. You must give the names of the symbols and the units. After the main body of the symbols, you should include Greek symbols, then subscripts, followed by acronyms.

List of Sym	bols	
Symbol	Definition	Units
с	chord	in
С	integration constant	lbf
\overline{c}	mean geometric chord	in
C'	derivative of integration constant with takeoff weight	~
c''	second derivative of chord with span	1/in
c_1	section lift coefficient	~
C_1	rolling moment coefficient	~
C_L	airplane lift coefficient	~
$c_{1\alpha}$	section lift curve slope	1/rad
$C_{L\alpha}$	airplane lift curve slope	1/rad
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{n}}$	yawing moment coefficient	~
$C_n\beta$	yawing moment coefficient with respect to sideslip	1/rad
L	rolling moment	ft-lbf
Μ	Mach number, pitching moment	~, ft-lbf
Ν	yawing moment	ft-lbf
Т	thrust	lbs
W	weight	lbs
etc.		

Greek Symbols

α	Angle of Attack	deg. or rad.
β	Sideslip angle	deg. or rad.
etc.		
Subscripts		
δ_{f}	Due to flap deflection	
TO	Takeoff	
etc.		

KU Aerospace Design

List of Figures - List any and all figures that are found in the report along with the page they are found on.

List of Tables - List any and all tables that are found in the report along with the page they are found on.

Notes on Generated Codes and Plots

Any suitable code may be used (like Excel, MatLab, other coding language). The original code must be turned in with the homework. If Matlab or another computational code is used to generate figures in the body, include the code in a separate appendix, one appendix for each code used. If Excel is used, then include screen shots. Note that both Matlab and Excel (and any other computational codes) must be submitted separately as well.

1. Introduction:

Choose a competition design to analyze the rest of the semester and possibly in the Spring. Describe why you like this design better than the others. If you choose a design of your own construction, tell the reader why you want to work on this <u>particular kind</u> of aircraft.

2. Abbreviated Operating Statement (AOS)

Generate an AOS for your chosen design. Make it good and put some thought in it.

3. Concept of Operations (ConOps)

Generate a ConOps for your chosen design as was covered in class. Show all principal mission phases and <u>interactions</u>

4. Mission Specification

By using the results of Chapter 3, determine the local section lift coefficient, $C_l(y)$ assuming cruise speed. Plot your results showing local section lift coefficient, $C_l(y)$ on the vertical axis and semispan y(ft) on the horizontal axis.

5. Mission Profile

Generate a Mission Profile for your chosen design as was covered in class. Make SURE to do it in 3 dimensions with a CAD ribbon as was covered.

6. Summary and Recommendations

6.1 Summary

Summarize the contents of the report in a few sentences or (better) bullet points that are properly introduced with a grammatically complete sentence.

6.2 Recommendations

Make any real recommendations about the performance estimation. You must include at least one recommendation. Be sure to start with a phrase like: "This author recommends that:" or similar.

Report I

Copyright © R.M. Barrett All rights reserved

Airplane Design Series by Prof. Jan Roskam

Part I: Preliminary Sizing of Airplanes

Part II: Preliminary Configuration Design and Integration of the Propulsion System

Part III: Layout Design of Cockpit, Fuselage, Wing and Empennage: Cutaways and Inboard Profiles

Part IV: Layout Design of Landing Gear and Systems

Part V: Component Weight Estimation

Part VI: Preliminary Calculation of Aerodynamic, **Thrust and Power Characteristics**

Part VII: Determination of Stability and Control and Power Characteristics

Part VIII: Airplane Cost Estimation: Design, Development, Manufacturing and Operating

Airplane Design Series by Prof. Jan Roskam

Part I: Preliminary Sizing of Airplanes

Provides a rapid method for sizing an aircraft and determining:

- Gross take-off weight, Wto
- Empty Weight, We
- Mission Fuel Weight, Wf
- Maximum Take-off Thrust, Tto or Take-off Power, Pto
- Wing area, S
- Max, lift coefficients, clean, take-off and landing, C_{Lmax}, C_{Lmaxto}, C_{LmaxL}





Airplane Design Series by Prof. Jan Roskam *Part I: Preliminary Sizing of Airplanes*

Mission Specification and Profile are Necessary to Start, Including:

- Payload with full specifications
- Range and/or loiter requirements
- Operating Altitudes
- Field lengths for take-off and landing
- Fuel reserves
- Climb requirements
- Maneuver requirements
- Certification base (FAR 23, 25, Experimental, LSA, Military etc.)







Copyright © R.M. Barrett All rights reserved





This Lecture: Part I, p4

Report I

Next Lecture: Part I



KU Aerospace Design

98

Overall Aircraft Design Process from Mission Spec. & Profile



Next Lecture: Part II

This Lecture: Part II

Report II



KU Aerospace Design

Top-Level Design Process: Civil & Military



Step 1: Concept of Operations

ConOps

Describes systems characteristics for a proposed system from a user's perspective. A CONOPS also describes the user organization, mission, and objectives from an integrated systems point of view and is used to communicate overall quantitative and qualitative system characteristics to stakeholders.



100

Mission Specification

Describes in words and with numbers the desired performance and constraints of the system as well as general characteristics to be optimized and requirements which must be met.





Mission Profile

Presents in a graphic format all of the major events and mission legs which make up the entire mission. Missile, munition and rocket mission profiles often include elapsed times.







Design Mission Requirements

Example Mission Specification – Attack Aircraft



DUST	5n Mission Requirements			
Crew	2 crew members (zero-zero ejection seats)	0		
Range	Minimum 2,500 nmi (Ferry Mission)			
Additional Considerations	Survivability measures (Low Observables)			
	Complete missions currently feasible only with attack			
	helicopters			
Certifications	Critical technologies TRL 8 or above			
	MIL-STD-516C and JSSGs			
Per	formance Requirements			
Austere Field PerformanceTake-off & Landing over 50ft obstacle \leq 4000ft				
Density Altitude of Austere Field	Up to 6000 ft			
Runway Conditions	California Bearing Ratio of 5 (grass or dirt surfaces)			
Service Ceiling	\geq 30,000ft			
Minimum Cruise Speed	310 kts per the mission profile			
	Service Life			
Production Run Duration	Service Life of +25 years or 15,000 hours			
Hourly Cost	Less costly than current market			
Entry into Service	2025			
0	rdnance Requirements			
Payload	3000 lb. armament			
Weapons Provisions	Integrated gun, ability to carry rail-launched missiles, rockets,			
	and bombs (500 lb. max)			



Example Mission Specification – Regional Jet

	50-Seat Airplane	76-Seat Airplane		
EIS 2030 for first model at		nd 2031 for second model.		
Engines	Existing engine(s) or one that is in development that will be			
Engines	in service by 2029. Assumptions must be documented.			
Passenger Capacity (with 30- inch seat pitch)	50	76		
Range	2,000 nmi	1,500 nmi		
Cruise Mach Number	Minimum Mach 0.	78 (Target: Mach 0.80)		
Approach Speed	Less th	an 141 kts		
Takeoff Length Over a 50 ft Obstacle (SL	4,000 ਉ	6 000 ft		
ISA + 18°F day)	4,000 It	0,000 11		
Landing Length Over a 50 ft Obstacle (SL	4 000 ft	6 000 ft		
ISA + 18°F day)	4,000 It	0,000 ft		
Distance to Climb Up	Less than 200 nmi	-		
Initial Cruise Altitude	FL 320	-		
Takeoff and Landing Altitude5,000 ft above MSL (ISA + 18°F)		$MSL (ISA + 18^{\circ}F)$		
Crew No.	2 pilots and at least 1 cabin of	crew member per 50 passengers.		
Pilot/Crew Weight	19	00 lbs.		
Baggage Weight per Pilot	30 lbs.			
Baggage Volume per Pilot	4 ft ³			
Passenger Weight	200 lbs.			
Baggage Weight per Passenger	40 lbs.			
Baggage Volume per Passenger	5 ft ³			
Seat Width	At least 17.2 inches (Target: 18 inches)			
	Stand up height in aisle similar to competitive aircraft.			
Aircraft Cross-Section	Baggage compartments are	serviced ergonomically. Aisle		
	width of at least 18 inches.			
Wingspan	At most 36 meters (Target: < 24 m)			





Example Mission Specification – Anti-Drone Aircraft

Target UAVs	Group 2 UAVs (threshold) – group 1 UAVs (objective)		
Range	3 nmi (threshold) – 3.5 nmi (objective)		
Service ceiling	3,000 ft (threshold) – 5,000 ft (objective)		
Launcher + 1 missiles weight	< 40 l b		
Launcher + 10 missiles weight	< 125 lb		
Launcher + 10 missiles pack weight	< 50 lb (distributed across 3 people)		
Interdiction rate	≥10 UAVs/hour		
System storage without maintenance	≥ 10 years		
Warhead arming distance (if used)	≥ 200 ft		
Noise level withing 100 ft of launch	$\leq 120 \text{ dBa}$		
Launch acceleration	≤ 2 g's		
Time to change payload (if used)	\leq 5 minutes		
Production voto	200 missiles and 20 launchers a year for 10 years plus 15		
	missiles for development testing		
System initial operating capability	≤ December 2027		



Example Mission Specification – Target Missile

Cruise Speed	Mach 5 (threshold) – Mach 8+ (objective)
Cruise Altitude	80 kft (threshold) – 100 kft (objective)
Range	2,500 nmi (threshold) – 3,000 nmi (objective) Capable of both round-trip and one-way missions
Runway Length	\leq 8,000 ft
Control	Remotely piloted unmanned system (autonomy permitted but not required)
Turn-around time	\leq 24 hr
ISR payload	 ≥ 3 × 3 × 12 ft ISR volume ≥ 1,000 lb ISR mass ≥ 2,000 W ISR power 2 downward-looking 12 in diameter transparent windows for EO/IR imagery contiguous to the payload Provide option for 1 × 2 ft RF antenna located on both sides of the fuselage with minimal occlusion from vehicle and heat flux
Production rate	10 aircraft and 2 ground control stations per year for 10 years plus 5 aircraft and 2 ground stations for development testing
System IOC	≤ December 2030

Example Mission Profile – SR-71



106



KU Aerospace Design

Step 2: Mission Specification & Profile

Example Mission Profile – Regional Turboprop





Example Mission Profile – Format

- Construct as a 3-d ribbon using NX, then cut and edit further in PowerPoint or other package
- Ribbon indicates aircraft flight path & roll angle (esp. important for aerobatic & combat a/c) 7 Divert to Alternate 100nmi

5 Cruise FL 300, 400kts TAS, 1500 nmi

- Small a/c figs indicate aircraft attitude and function
- Numbers & stages go in middle of legs
- Bars & tick marks indicate breaks

^r Climb @ 500 fpm

Climb

range credit

Takeoff, 1500 ft total

field length @ STP, over 35' obstacle

- Do in 3-d package
- Watch resolution

2 Taxi

1 Engine Start

• Edit in PPT

• If drop or any other action is indicated, make a small figure

Descent

range credit

• Tables are not acceptable. Only notation on figure!

6 Loiter 30 min at FL 300

9 Land, Taxi, Shutdown


KU Aerospace Design

Step 2: Mission Specification & Profile

Example Mission Profile – Rotorcraft





KU Aerospace Design

Step 2: Mission Specification & Profile

Example Mission Profile – Dual-Mode Hybrid STOL



110

Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Step 2: Mission Specification & Profile

Example Mission Profile – Firefighter





KU Aerospace Design

Step 2: Mission Specification & Profile

Example Mission Profile – ISR Aircraft



Kansas University

KU Aerospace Design

Step 2: Mission Specification & Profile

Example Mission Specification & Profile – XQ-138 (20 y/o line format)



Mission Specification:

- Max. gross weight: 6.8lb (3.1kg)
- Max.payload weight: 2.2 lb (1kg)
- All weather capable
- 12"/hr (31cm/hr) rain
- 25+ kt gust penetration

- Sandstorm capable to 100kts
- Vmax 140kts for 1hr (blue sky)
- -40/100° F (38° C), 100% humidity
- Combat shotgun resistant @5m
- 15g MOUT wall strike
- Sensors: B/W 0.001 lux, Color 0.1 lux, FLIR
 Land + autostart
- Flight modes: 1st, 3rd person, fully autonomous w/waypoint nav.

113